arXiv:0710.5352v2 [hep-ph] 11 Dec 2007

Hybrid States from Constituent Glue Model

F. Iddir* and L. Semlala†
Laboratoire de Physique Théorique, Univ. d'Oran Es-Sénia 31100
ALGERIA

February 2, 2008

Abstract

The hybrid meson is one of the most interesting new hadron specie beyond the naive quark model. It acquire a great attention both from the theoretical and experimental efforts. Many good candidates have been claimed to be observed, but there is no absolute confirmation about existence of hybrid mesons.

In the present work we propose new calculations of the masses and decay widths of the hybrid mesons in the context of constituent gluon model.

1 Introduction

There is an intensive experimental activity attempting to detect new hadrons beyond the quark model which are predicted by QCD: glueballs, hybrids, diquonia, pentaquarks, ...

Hybrid mesons (quark-antiquark-gluon) can have J^{PC} quantum numbers which are not allowed by the naive quark model, like 0^{--}, 0^{+-}, 1^{-+}, 2^{+-},...then they can't mix with the standard mesons and hence can facilitate their observation.

These "exotic" objects are the most promising new species of hadrons allowed by QCD and subject of lot of works both in the theoretical and experimental levels.

*E-mail: *faridaghis@hotmail.com*
†E-mail: *l_semlala@yahoo.fr*

From experimental efforts at IHEP[1], KEK[2], CERN[3] and BNL[4] several J^{PC}=1^{-+}exotic resonances have been claimed to be identified, especially $\pi_1(1600)$ and $\pi_1(1400)$ have received great interest, but some doubts are raised about the later one[5−6].

In the charm sector, the most interesting new states observed are the X(3872) and the Y(4260)[7].

This work follows and completes several papers already devoted to this subject[9−10], new spin dependent estimations of the masses of hybrids and its consequences to the decay widths are proposed.

In fact the hybrid mesons are studied from different models: lattice QCD[11], flux tube model[12], bag model[13], QCD sum rules[14] and constituent gluon model[7−10,15−16]. Some of them can perform both estimations of mass and decay widths. The nature of gluonic field inside hybrid is not yet be clear because the gluon plays a double role: it propagates the interaction between color sources and being itself colored it undergoes the interaction. Whereas, LQCD and Sum rules QCD make no assumptions about it, two important hypothesis can be retained from literature. The first one consider gluonic degrees of freedom as "excitations" of the "flux tube" between quark and antiquark, which leads to the linear potential, that is familiar from quark model (flux-tube model).

The second issue, which are supported by the present work, assumes that hybrid is a bound state of quark-antiquark and a constituent glue which interact through a phenomenological potential, precisely Coulomb plus linear potential supplemented by spin-spin , spin-orbit and tensor correction terms. The use of relativistic kinetics is appropriate for the study of the light flavor systems. The wave functions obtained are used for the calculations of the strong decay widths.

2 Hybrid states and binding Hamiltonian

For the representation of hybrid states we will use the notations:

l_{g} : is the relative orbital momentum of the gluon in the $q\bar{q}$ center of mass;

$l_{q\bar{q}}$: is the relative orbital momentum between q and $\bar{q}$;

$S_{q\bar{q}}$: is the total quarks spin;

j_g : is the total gluon angular momentum;

L : $l_{q\bar{q}} \oplus j_g$.

Considering the gluon moving in the framework of the $q\overline{q}$ pair, the Parity of the hybrid will be:

$$\begin{aligned} P &= P\left(q\overline{q}\right)\cdot P\left(g\right)\cdot P\left(relative\right) \\ &= \left(-\right)^{l_{q\overline{q}}+1}\cdot\left(-1\right)\cdot\left(-\right)^{l_g}; \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

(-1) being the intrinsic parity of the gluon. Then the Parity of hybrid meson will be:

$$P=\left(-\right)^{l_{q\bar{q}}+l_g}. \tag{2}$$

The Charge Conjugation is given by:

$$C=\left(-\right)^{l_{q\bar{q}}+S_{q\bar{q}}+1}. \tag{3}$$

The QE and GE hybrids

$S_{q\bar{q}}$ can takes values 0 or 1; P and C impose Parity restrictions to $l_{q\bar{q}}$, and l_g (Table 1).

For lower values of orbital excitations ($l_{q\bar{q}}$ and $l_g \leqslant 1$) and

P = *-1*, hybrid states can be built by two modes; $l_{q\bar{q}}=1$ and $l_g=0$, which we shall refer as *the quark-excited hybrid (*QE*)*, and $l_{q\bar{q}}=0$ and $l_g=1$, which we shall refer as *the gluon-excited hybrid (*GE*).*These particular modes play an important role in the decay selection rules to be mentioned later. The possible mixed QE-GE state is taked into account in this paper.

The wavefunctions

The total wave function can be written as:

$$\Psi^{PC}_{JM}=\left(\left(\left(\mathbf{e}_{\mu_g}\otimes\psi^{m_g}_{l_g}\right)_{j_gM_g}\otimes\psi^{m_{q\overline{q}}}_{l_{q\overline{q}}}\right)_{Lm}\otimes\chi^{S_{q\overline{q}}}_{\mu_{q\overline{q}}}\right)^{PC}_{JM} \tag{4}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi^{PC}_{JM}(\vec{\rho},\vec{\lambda}) &= \sum\psi^{m_g}_{l_g}(\vec{\rho})\psi^{m_{q\bar{q}}}_{l_{q\bar{q}}}(\vec{\lambda})\mathbf{e}_{\mu_g}\chi^{S_{q\overline{q}}}_{\mu_{q\overline{q}}}\ \left\langle l_gm_g1\mu_g\mid j_gM_g\right\rangle\left\langle l_{q\bar{q}}m_{q\bar{q}}j_gM_g\mid Lm\right\rangle \\ &\quad \left\langle LmS_{q\bar{q}}\mu_{q\bar{q}}\mid JM\right\rangle \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

The sum runs over the values of $l_{q\bar{q}}$, $m_{q\bar{q}}$, l_g, m_g, j_g, M_g, L, m, $S_{q\overline{q}}$, $\mu_{q\bar{q}}$ and μ_g excluding those not consistent with P and C.

Here the Jacobi coordinates are introduced:

$$\vec{\rho} = \vec{r}_{\bar{q}} - \vec{r}_q;$$
$$\vec{\lambda} = \vec{r}_g - \frac{M_q \vec{r}_q + M_{\bar{q}} \vec{r}_{\bar{q}}}{M_q + M_{\bar{q}}}.$$

The Hamiltonian is constructed, containing a phenomenological potential which reproduces the QCD characteristics; its expression has the mathematical "Coulomb + Linear" form, and we take into account also the additional spin effects.

The basic hypothesis is the use of the relativistic Schrödinger-type wave equation:

$$\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sqrt{\vec{p}_i^{\,2} + m_i^{\,2}} + V_{eff} \right\} \Psi(\vec{r}_i) = E \; \Psi(\vec{r}_i). \tag{6}$$

In the case of multiparticle systems, another equivalent wave equation is more convenient[10]:

$$\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\frac{\vec{p}_i^{\,2}}{2M_i} + \frac{M_i}{2} + \frac{m_i^2}{2M_i} \right) + V_{eff} \right\} \Psi(\vec{r}_i) = E \; \Psi(\vec{r}_i) \; ; \tag{7}$$

where M_i are some "dynamical masses" satisfying the conditions:

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial M_i} = 0 \; ; \tag{8}$$

V_{eff} is the average over the color space of chromo-spatial potential:

$$\begin{aligned} V_{eff} &= \langle V \rangle_{color} = \left\langle - \sum_{i<j=1}^{N} \mathbf{F}_i \cdot \mathbf{F}_j \; v(r_{ij}) \right\rangle_{color} \\ &= \sum_{i<j=1}^{N} \alpha_{ij} v(r_{ij}) \; ; \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where $v(r_{ij})$ is the phenomenological potential term.

We take a potential which has the form:

$$v(r_{ij}) = v_{CL}(r_{ij}) + v_{SD}(r_{ij}); \tag{10}$$

where the QCD-motivated "Coulomb.+Linear" term is of the form:

$$v_{CL}(r_{ij}) = -\frac{\alpha_s}{r_{ij}} + \sigma \; r_{ij} + c \; ; \tag{11}$$

the α_s, σ, and c may be fitted by experimental data or taked from lattice and Regge fits.

The spin-dependent term can split into Spin-Spin, Spin-Orbit and Tensor terms :

$$v_{SD} = v_{SS} + v_{SO} + v_T \tag{12}$$

$$(v_{SS})_{ij} = \frac{8\pi\alpha_h}{3M_iM_j}\frac{\sigma_h^3}{\sqrt{\pi^3}}\exp(-\sigma_h^2\ r_{ij}^2)\ \mathbf{S}_i\cdot\mathbf{S}_j\ ; \tag{13}$$

$$(v_{SO})_{ij} = \frac{\alpha_S}{2r_{ij}^3}\left(\frac{\mathbf{S}_i\cdot\mathbf{r}_{ij}\times\mathbf{p}_i}{M_i^2} - \frac{\mathbf{S}_j\cdot\mathbf{r}_{ij}\times\mathbf{p}_j}{M_j^2} - \frac{2\mathbf{S}_i\cdot\mathbf{r}_{ij}\times\mathbf{p}_j - 2\mathbf{S}_j\cdot\mathbf{r}_{ij}\times\mathbf{p}_i}{M_iM_j}\right); \tag{14}$$

$$(v_{SO})_{ij} = \frac{\alpha_S}{2r_{ij}^3}\left(\frac{\mathbf{S}_i\cdot\mathbf{r}_{ij}\times\mathbf{p}_i}{M_i^2} - \frac{\mathbf{S}_j\cdot\mathbf{r}_{ij}\times\mathbf{p}_j}{M_j^2} - \frac{2\mathbf{S}_i\cdot\mathbf{r}_{ij}\times\mathbf{p}_j - 2\mathbf{S}_j\cdot\mathbf{r}_{ij}\times\mathbf{p}_i}{M_iM_j}\right); \tag{15}$$

$$(v_T)_{ij} = \frac{\alpha_S}{M_iM_jr_{ij}^3}\left(3\ \mathbf{S}_i\cdot\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{ij}\ \mathbf{S}_j\cdot\hat{\mathbf{r}}_{ij} - \mathbf{S}_i\cdot\mathbf{S}_j\ \right); \tag{16}$$

The mass of the constituent gluon

An important ingredient of the model is the mass of the constituent gluon m_g. As for quarks, this represents a dynamical mass and is expected to add $0.7 \sim 1.0\ GeV$ to the corresponding quarkonia. The authors of ref. [17] are generating 800 MeV constituent gluon mass in the context of Dynamical Quark Model employing BCS vacuum, a value which is consistent with the (1600) glueball candidate. Furthermore, there is evidence for massive like dispersion relation for the gluon, with mass ranging from $700 \sim 1000\ MeV$, both lattice and from Schwinger-Dyson equations.[18]

The hybrid mass evaluation

In earlier estimations[10] we have used $m_g = 800\ MeV$, here we adopt a slightly modified value (850 MeV) to the best fit of our results to the ones obtained by lattice calculations of $1^{-+}c\overline{c}g$ and $b\overline{b}g$ masses.

We have to solve the wave equation relative to the Hamiltonian:

$$H = \sum_{i=q,\ \bar{q},\ g}\left(\frac{\vec{p}_i^{\,2}}{2M_i} + \frac{M_i}{2} + \frac{m_i^2}{2M_i}\right) + V_{eff}\ ; \tag{17}$$

with, for the hybrid meson :

$$\begin{array}{l}\alpha_{q\bar{q}} = -\frac{1}{6};\\ \alpha_{\bar{q}g} = \alpha_{qg} = \frac{3}{2}.\end{array} \tag{18}$$

The relative Hamiltonian is given by:

$$H_R = \frac{\vec{p}_\rho^{\,2}}{2\mu_\rho} + \frac{\vec{p}_\lambda^{\,2}}{2\mu_\lambda} + V_{eff}(\vec{\rho},\vec{\lambda}) + \frac{M_q}{2} + \frac{m_q^2}{2M_q} + \frac{M_{\bar{q}}}{2} + \frac{m_{\bar{q}}^2}{2M_{\bar{q}}} + \frac{M_g}{2} + \frac{m_g^2}{2M_g}; \quad (19)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_\rho &= \left(\tfrac{1}{M_q} + \tfrac{1}{M_{\bar{q}}}\right)^{-1} \\ \mu_\lambda &= \left(\tfrac{1}{M_g} + \tfrac{1}{M_q + M_{\bar{q}}}\right)^{-1}; \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

The spatial trial wavefunctions are of the Gaussian-type:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{l_g}^{m_g}(\vec{\rho})\psi_{l_{q\bar{q}}}^{m_{q\bar{q}}}(\vec{\lambda}) &= C_\beta^{l_{q\bar{q}}} C_\beta^{l_g} \rho^{l_{q\bar{q}}} \lambda^{l_g} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\beta^2\left(\rho^2+\lambda^2\right)\right) \mathbf{Y}_{l_{q\bar{q}} m_{q\bar{q}}}(\Omega_\rho)\mathbf{Y}_{l_g m_g}(\Omega_\lambda) \\ &= C_\beta^{l_{q\bar{q}} l_g} \xi^{l_{q\bar{q}}+l_g} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\beta^2\xi^2\right)\mathbf{Y}_K(\Omega_5) \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

For a J^{PC} state we calculate the energy function:

$$En(M_i, \beta_{l_{q\bar{q}} l_g})_{J^{PC}} = \frac{\int \Psi_{JM}^{PC}(\vec{\rho},\vec{\lambda})^* H_R \Psi_{JM}^{PC}(\vec{\rho},\vec{\lambda})\; d\vec{\rho} d\vec{\lambda}}{\int \Psi_{JM}^{PC}(\vec{\rho},\vec{\lambda})^* \Psi_{JM}^{PC}(\vec{\rho},\vec{\lambda})\; d\vec{\rho} d\vec{\lambda}} \quad (22)$$

and minimize it to respect of the parameters $M_i, \beta_{l_{q\bar{q}} l_g}$ to have both masses and parameters of the corresponding wavefunctions. For more details see the Appendix. Numerical results are exposed in tables 2-4.

3 The decay

The decay process occurs through the quark pair creation mechanism, annihilating the constituent glue. Original quarks being spectators; more details can be found in [9]. The hybrid meson decaying in two standard mesons obeys to the following (model independent) selection rules:

· only QE-hybrid meson decays in two S-standard mesons;

· only GE-hybrid meson decays in one L and one S-standard mesons.

Some earlier decay results are reviewed taking into account a significative mixing of the QE and GE modes generating from our mass estimations, this is summarized in tables 5-8.

4 Results and discussion

The mass results are grouped in tables 2-4.

We note that the hybrid masses obtained are in good agreement with those obtained by other models. The spin corrections are more important in the light sector, and do not exceed 19% for Δ_{SO} and 7%. for Δ_{SS} and Δ_{Tens}.

The mass of the GE-hybrid is larger than those in the QE-mode. Indeed the strong force being proportional to the color charge, the exchange of a color octet does require an important energy.

In the case of the pure GE mode, we have $M_{0^{-+}} < M_{1^{--}} < M_{1^{-+}} < M_{0^{--}}$ (table 4). This is more close to the results of the "gluon excitation" models; this is simply because such works exclude the non excited glue ($l_g = 0$) mode (i.e. the QE one) from its construction of hybrid mesons.

Note that $1^{-+}n\overline{n}g$ is around 1.93 GeV ($300 \sim 500$ more heavier than $\pi(1600)$ and $\pi(1400)$ candidates), this at least exclude that the last candidate to be hybrid meson[5].

On the other hand for $J^P = 1^-$ hybrids we find a significant mixing between QE and GE-modes. Using the $1^{-+}n\overline{n}g$ wavefunctions obtained, we have estimate decay widths (assuming $M_{1^{-+}n\overline{n}g} \sim 1.6\ GeV$, Tables 5A-6A); the channel $b_1\pi$ remains dominant, and the observation of $1^{-+}n\overline{n}g$ decaying in two S-mesons remains possible ($\Gamma_{tot}\left[1^{-+}(1600)\right] \simeq 60\ MeV$). However the mass gap imposes some doubts to consider the candidate $\pi(1600)$ as an hybrid meson. This conclusion is supported ref. [6] .

In the case for the candidate 1^{-+} around 2.0 GeV observed by AGS Coll.[19],which is more close to our mass estimations, (Tables 5B-6B), we note that for the "L+S" channel the decay widths are very large. We can observe a narrow resonance in the "S+S" channel.

In the charm sector, the $1^{--}c\overline{c}g$ is estimated to have a mass around 4.3 GeV which is consistent with the Y(4260) candidate. Otherwise, we find that $1^{--}c\overline{c}g$ decays in two (L+S)-mesons with decay widths sufficiently small to generate observable resonance $\left(\Gamma_{tot}^{1^{--}(4.3)} \sim 107\ MeV\right)$. Note that the possible mixing of $1^{--}c\overline{c}g$ and the corresponding $1^{--}c\overline{c}$ is excluded[9].

Finally, to have an idea on the geometrical configuration of the hybrid mesons, we calculate the ratio $\frac{\langle\rho^2\rangle}{\langle\lambda^2\rangle}$ (table 9); the gluelump picture that consider the hybrid as a point like $q\bar{q}$ pair bound to a constituent gluon, is not supported by the present results.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the Laboratoire de Physique Théorique d'Oran.

References

[1] D. Alde et *al:* Proc. of Hadron 97; Yu D. Prokoshin and S. A. Sadorsky: Phys. At. Nucl. **58** (1995) 606; G. M. Beladidze et *al:* Phys. Lett. **B313** (1993) 276; A. Zaitsev: Proc. of Hadron 97

[2] H. Aoyagi et *al:* Phys. Lett. **B314** (1993) 246

[3] D. Alde et *al,* Phys. Lett. **B205** (1988) 397

[4] D. R. Thompson et *al:* (E852Coll.), Phys. Rev. Lett. **79** (1997) 1630

[5] F. Iddir and L. Semlala: hep-ph/0511086

[6] I. General, P. Wang, S. R. Cotanch and F.J. Lianes-Estrada: hep-ph/0707.128

[7] F. Iddir and L. Semlala: hep-ph/0611183

[8] A. Le Yaouanc, L. Oliver, O. Pène, J-C. Raynal and S. Ono: Z. Phys. **C28** (1985) 309; F. Iddir et *al*: Phys. Lett. **B205** (1988) 564, Phys. Lett. **B207** (1988) 325

[9] F. Iddir, A.S. Safir: Phys. Lett.**B507**(2001)183; F. Iddir, A.S. Safir and O. Pène: Phys. Lett.**B433**(1998)125

[10] F. Iddir and L. Semlala: hep-ph/0211289;hep-ph/0411074

[11] C. Michael : hep-ph/0308293, and refs. theirin.

[12] N. Isgur and J. Paton: Phys. Lett. **B124** (1983) 247, Phys. Rev. **D31** (1985) 2910; N. Isgur, R. Kokosky and J. Paton: Phys. Rev. Lett. **54** (1985) 869; F. E. Close and P. R. Page: Nucl. Phys. **B433** (1995) 233, Phys. Rev. **D52** (1995) 1706; T. Barnes, F. E. Close and E. S. Swanson: Phys. Rev. **D5**2 (1995) 5242

[13] T. Barnes: Caltech Ph.D. thesis (1977), unpublished, Nucl. Phys. **B158**, 171 (1979); T. Barnes and F. E. Close: Phys. Lett. **116B**, 365 (1982); M. Chanowitz and S. R. Sharpe: Nucl. Phys. **B222**, 211 (1983); T. Barnes, F. E. Close and F. deViron: Nucl. Phys. **B224**, 241 (1983); M. Flensburg, C. Peterson and L. Skold: Z. Phys. **C22**, 293 (1984); P. Hasenfratz, R. R. Horgan, J. Kuti and J.-M. Richard: Phys. Lett. **95B**, 299 (1980)

[14] I. I. Balitski, D. I. Dyakanov and A. V. Yang: Phys. Lett. **112B**, 71 (1982), Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **35**, 761 (1982), Z. Phys. **C33**, 265 (1986); J. I. Latorre, S. Narison, P. Pascual and R. Tarrach: Phys. Lett. **147B**, 169 (1984); J. I. Latorre, S. Narison, P. Pascual: Z. Phys. **C34**, 347 (1987); S. Narison: QCD Spectral Sum Rules, Lecture Notes in Physics, Vol.26, p.375: World Scientific 1989; J. Govaerts, F. deViron, D. Gusbin and J. Weyers: Phys. Lett. **128B**, 262 (1983), (E) Phys. Lett. **136B**, 445 (1983); J. Govaerts, L. J. Reinders, H. R. Rubinstein and J. Weyers: Nucl. Phys. **B258**, 215 (1985); Govaerts, L. J. Reinders and J. Weyers: Nucl. Phys. **B262**, 575 (1985); J. Govaerts, L. J. Reinders, P. Francken, X. Gonze and J. Weyers: Nucl. Phys. **B284**, 674 (1987); J. Govaerts, F. deViron, D. Gusbin and J. Weyers: Nucl. Phys. **B24**8, 1 (1984), hep-ph/9903537

[15] D. Horn and J. Mandula: Phys. Rev. **D17**, 898(1978)

[16] Yu. S. Kalashnikova: Z. Phys. **C62** (1994) 323, Proc. of Hadron 95, Manchester (1995)

[17] E. S. Swanson and A. P. Szczepaniak, hep-ph/9804219; A. P. Szczepaniak, E. S. Swanson, C.-R. Ji and S.R. Cotanch, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 2011(1996)

[18] E. Abreu and P. Bicudo: hep-ph/0508281.

[19] J. H. Lee et *al,* Phys. Lett. **B323**(1994)227; J. M. LoSecco et *al*, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **36**(1996)437.

Appendix: The matrix elements

The calculation of the matrix elements requires the evaluation of many (cpu-intensive) six-dimensional integrals. Fortunately, the symmetry of the problem allows us to do this by an analytic way.

The spatial matrix elements

Using the hyperspherical coordinates

$$\boldsymbol{\xi} \equiv (\xi; \boldsymbol{\Omega}_5) \equiv (\xi; \Omega_{\boldsymbol{\rho}}; \Omega_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}; \alpha) \equiv \left(\xi; \theta_{\boldsymbol{\rho}}, \varphi_{\boldsymbol{\rho}}; \theta_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}, \varphi_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}; \theta\right)$$
$$\begin{smallmatrix}\rho=\xi\sin\theta\\ \lambda=\xi\cos\theta\end{smallmatrix} \; ; \;\; (0 \leq \theta \leq \tfrac{\pi}{2}) \text{ and } \;\; \xi^2 = \rho^2 + \lambda^2 \; ; \;\; (0 \leq \xi \leq \infty).$$

the potential energy will be more symmetric and we can separate angular from hyperradial variables:

$$V(\vec{\rho}, \vec{\lambda}) \equiv V_{[K]}(\vec{\xi}) = \tfrac{A_{[K]}(\Omega_5)}{\xi} + B_{[K]}\left(\Omega_5\right) \, \xi + c'';$$

where $[K] \equiv (l_\rho, m_\rho; l_\lambda, m_\lambda) \equiv (l_{q\bar{q}}, m_{q\bar{q}}; l_g, m_g)$.
Then matrix elements can be calculated analytically as:

$$V_{[K_1K_2]} = \int_0^\infty d\xi \; \xi^5 \left(\tfrac{A_{[K_1K_2]}}{\xi} + B_{[K_1K_2]} \; \xi + c''\right) R_{[K_1]}(\xi) R_{[K_2]}(\xi);$$

where

$$R_{[K_1]}(\xi) = C_K^{l_\rho l_\lambda} \xi^K \exp\left(-\tfrac{1}{2}\alpha_{[K]}^2 \; \xi^2\right); \quad K = l_\rho + l_\lambda$$

The coefficients $A_{[K_1K_2]}$ and $B_{[K_1K_2]}$ reads:

$$\tfrac{1}{\sigma} B_K = \tfrac{1}{\xi} \int d\Omega_5 \;\; \left(-\tfrac{1}{6}\rho + \tfrac{3}{2}\left|\vec{\lambda} + \tfrac{\vec{\rho}}{2}\right| + \tfrac{3}{2}\left|\vec{\lambda} - \tfrac{\vec{\rho}}{2}\right|\right) \;\; \mathbf{Y}^*_{[K_1]}(\Omega_5) \mathbf{Y}_{[K_2]}(\Omega_5),$$
$$\tfrac{1}{\alpha_s} A_K = -\xi \int d\Omega_5 \;\; \left(-\tfrac{1}{6\rho} + \tfrac{3}{2}\tfrac{1}{\left|\vec{\lambda} + \frac{\vec{\rho}}{2}\right|} + \tfrac{3}{2}\tfrac{1}{\left|\vec{\lambda} - \frac{\vec{\rho}}{2}\right|}\right) \;\; \mathbf{Y}^*_{[K_1]}(\Omega_5) \mathbf{Y}_{[K_2]}(\Omega_5),$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{Y}_{[K]}(\Omega_5) &\equiv \psi_K^{l_\rho l_\lambda}(\theta) \; \mathbf{Y}_{l_\rho m_\rho}(\Omega_{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \mathbf{Y}_{l_\lambda m_\lambda}(\Omega_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}) \\
&= N_K^{l_\rho l_\lambda} \sin^{l_\rho}\theta \cos^{l_\lambda}\theta \; \mathbf{Y}_{l_\rho m_\rho}(\Omega_{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \mathbf{Y}_{l_\lambda m_\lambda}(\Omega_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}); \\
d\Omega_5 &\equiv d\Omega_\rho d\Omega_\lambda \sin^2\theta \cos^2\theta \; d\theta \; ; \qquad d\Omega_i \equiv \sin\theta_i \; d\theta_i \; d\varphi_i.
\end{aligned}$$

We have used the well known expressions:

$$|\vec{r}_1 \pm \vec{r}_2| \quad = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} \; (\mp)^l a_l \; \tfrac{4\pi}{2l+1} \; \mathbf{Y}_{lm}(\Omega_1) \mathbf{Y}^*_{lm}(\Omega_2),$$
$$|\vec{r}_1 \pm \vec{r}_2|^{-1} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} \; (\mp)^l b_l \; \tfrac{4\pi}{2l+1} \; \mathbf{Y}_{lm}(\Omega_1) \mathbf{Y}^*_{lm}(\Omega_2),$$

where

$$a_l = \frac{1}{2l+3}\frac{r_<^{l+2}}{r_>^{l+1}} - \frac{1}{2l-1}\frac{r_<^{l}}{r_>^{l-1}},$$
$$b_l = \frac{r_<^{l}}{r_>^{l+1}}.$$
$$r_< = \min(r_1, r_2),$$
$$r_> = \max(r_1, r_2).$$

with $\vec{r}_1 = \vec{\lambda}$ and $\vec{r}_2 = \frac{1}{2}\vec{\rho}$.
We calculate the integrals:

$$(I_a^{\pm})_{[K_2K_1]} \equiv \xi \int d\Omega \ |\vec{r}_1 \pm \vec{r}_2|^{-1} \ \mathbf{Y}^*_{[K_2]}(\Omega_5)\mathbf{Y}_{[K_1]}(\Omega_5) = \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin^2\theta\cos^2\theta \ d\theta$$
$$\psi_{K_2}^{l_{\rho 2}l_{\lambda 2}}(\theta)\psi_{K_1}^{l_{\rho 1}l_{\lambda 1}}(\theta) \int d\Omega_{\boldsymbol{\rho}} \mathbf{Y}^*_{l_{\rho 1}m_{\rho 1}}(\Omega_{\boldsymbol{\rho}})\mathbf{Y}_{l_{\rho 2}m_{\rho 2}}(\Omega_{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \int d\Omega_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \ \mathbf{Y}^*_{l_{\lambda 1}m_{\lambda 1}}(\Omega_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}})\mathbf{Y}_{l_{\lambda 2}m_{\lambda 2}}(\Omega_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}})$$
$$\times \sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\sum_{m=-l}^{l} (\mp)^l b_l \ \frac{4\pi}{2l+1} \ \mathbf{Y}_{lm}(\Omega_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}})\mathbf{Y}^*_{lm}(\Omega_{\boldsymbol{\rho}}).$$

and we find

$$(I_a^{\pm})_{[K_2K_1]} = \delta_{(m\rho_1+m\rho_2, m\lambda_1+m\lambda_2)}(-1)^{m\rho_1+m\rho_2} \sum_{l=l_{\min}}^{l_{\max}} (\mp)^l \frac{4\pi}{2l+1} \ T_l$$
$$\times \left\langle l_{\rho 1}m_{\rho 1} \left| \mathbf{Y}_{l(-m\rho_1-m\rho_2)} \right| l_{\rho 2}m \right\rangle \left\langle l_{\lambda 1}m_{\lambda 1} \left| \mathbf{Y}_{l(m\lambda_1+m\lambda_2)} \right| l_{\lambda 2}m_{\lambda 2} \right\rangle,$$
$$\langle l_1 m_1 | \mathbf{Y}_{lm} | l_2 m_2 \rangle \equiv \int d\Omega \ \mathbf{Y}^*_{l_1 m_1}(\Omega)\mathbf{Y}_{lm}(\Omega)\mathbf{Y}_{l_2 m_2}(\Omega);$$
$$l_{\min} = \max(|l_{\rho 2} - l_{\rho 1}|, |l_{\lambda 2} - l_{\lambda 1}|),$$
$$l_{\max} = \min(l_{\rho 2} + l_{\rho 1}, l_{\lambda 2} + l_{\lambda 1});$$

where

$$T_l \equiv \int_0^{\arctan(2)} \sin^2\theta\cos^2\theta \ d\theta \ \psi_{K_2}^{l_{\rho 2}l_{\lambda 2}}(\theta)\psi_{K_1}^{l_{\rho 1}l_{\lambda 1}}(\theta)\frac{\sin^l\theta}{2^l\cos^{l+1}\theta} + \int_{\arctan(2)}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin^2\theta\cos^2\theta$$
$$d\theta \ \psi_{K_2}^{l_{\rho 2}l_{\lambda 2}}(\theta)\psi_{K_1}^{l_{\rho 1}l_{\lambda 1}}(\theta)\frac{2^{l+1}\cos^l\theta}{\sin^{l+1}\theta}$$

Finally we can write

$$\frac{1}{-\alpha_s}A_{[K_2K_1]} = -\frac{1}{6}\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin\theta\cos^2\theta \ d\theta \ \psi_{K_2}^{l_{\rho 2}l_{\lambda 2}}(\theta)\psi_{K_1}^{l_{\rho 1}l_{\lambda 1}}(\theta) + \frac{3}{2}\ (I_a^+ + I_a^-).$$

In the same way we evaluate the $B_{[K_2K_1]}$coefficient:

$$\frac{1}{\sigma}B_K = -\frac{1}{6}\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin^3\theta\cos^2\theta\ d\theta\ \left|\psi_K^{l_\rho l_\lambda}(\theta)\right|^2 + \frac{3}{2}\ (I_b^+ + I_b^-),$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\left(I_b^{\pm}\right)_{[K_2K_1]} &= \delta_{(m\rho_1+m\rho_2,m\lambda_1+m\lambda_2)}(-1)^{m\rho_1+m\rho_2}\sum_{l=l_{\min}}^{l_{\max}}(\mp)^l\,\frac{4\pi}{2l+1}\ S_l \\ &\times\left\langle l_{\rho 1}m_{\rho 1}\left|\mathbf{Y}_{l(-m\rho_1-m\rho_2)}\right|l_{\rho 2}m_{\rho 2}\right\rangle\left\langle l_{\lambda 1}m_{\lambda 1}\left|\mathbf{Y}_{l(m\lambda_1+m\lambda_2)}\right|l_{\lambda 2}m_{\lambda 2}\right\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}S_l \equiv &\int_0^{\arctan(2)} \sin^2\theta\cos^3\theta\ d\theta\ \psi_{K_2}^{l_{\rho 2}l_{\lambda 2}}(\theta)\psi_{K_1}^{l_{\rho 1}l_{\lambda 1}}(\theta)\left(\frac{1}{2l+3}\frac{\tan^{l+2}\theta}{2^{l+2}} - \frac{1}{2l-1}\frac{\tan^l\theta}{2^l}\right) + \\ &\int_{\arctan(2)}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin^3\theta\cos^2\theta\ d\theta\ \psi_{K_2}^{l_{\rho 2}l_{\lambda 2}}(\theta)\psi_{K_1}^{l_{\rho 1}l_{\lambda 1}}(\theta)\left(\frac{2^{l+1}}{2l+3}\cot^{l+2}\theta - \frac{2^{l-1}}{2l-1}\cot^l\theta\right)\end{aligned}$$

The terms $A_{[K_2K_1]}$ and $B_{[K_2K_1]}$ are expressed in terms of Gamma functions $\Gamma(z)$, the "threeJ symbols" $\begin{pmatrix} j_1 & j2 & j3 \\ m_1 & m_2 & m_3 \end{pmatrix}$and the incomplete betha functions $B_z(a,b)$.

The spin-spin parts

In the same way we can calculate the spin-spin corrections using the expression:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta V_{SS} &= \frac{8\pi\alpha_h}{3}\frac{\sigma_h^3}{\sqrt{\pi^3}}\{-\frac{1}{6}\frac{1}{M_qM_{\overline{q}}}\mathbf{J}\ \langle\overrightarrow{s}_q\cdot\overrightarrow{s}_{\overline{q}}\rangle \\ &+\frac{3}{2}\frac{1}{M_qM_g}\mathbf{J}^+\ \left\langle\overrightarrow{s}_q\cdot\overrightarrow{S}_g\right\rangle \\ &+\frac{3}{2}\frac{1}{M_{\overline{q}}M_g}\mathbf{J}^-\ \left\langle\overrightarrow{s}_{\overline{q}}\cdot\overrightarrow{S}_g\right\rangle\}.\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{J} &= \int \Psi^{PC}_{JM}(\vec{\rho},\vec{\lambda})^* \exp(-\sigma_h^2\ \rho^2)\Psi^{PC}_{JM}(\vec{\rho},\vec{\lambda})\ d\vec{\rho}d\vec{\lambda}, \\
\mathbf{J}^+ &= \int \Psi^{PC}_{JM}(\vec{\rho},\vec{\lambda})^* \exp(-\sigma_h^2\ \left|\vec{\lambda}+x_+\vec{\rho}\right|^2)\Psi^{PC}_{JM}(\vec{\rho},\vec{\lambda})\ d\vec{\rho}d\vec{\lambda}, \\
\mathbf{J}^- &= \int \Psi^{PC}_{JM}(\vec{\rho},\vec{\lambda})^* \exp(-\sigma_h^2\ \left|\vec{\lambda}-x_-\vec{\rho}\right|^2)\Psi^{PC}_{JM}(\vec{\rho},\vec{\lambda})\ d\vec{\rho}d\vec{\lambda}.
\end{aligned}$$

We use here the developement:

$$\begin{aligned}
\exp\left[\pm\rho\lambda\cos(\overrightarrow{\rho},\overrightarrow{\lambda})\right] &= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty}(\mp)^l\, C_l(\rho\lambda)\ P_l[\cos(\overrightarrow{\rho},\overrightarrow{\lambda})] \\
&= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty}(\mp)^l\, C_l(\rho\lambda)\ \frac{4\pi}{2l+1}\sum_{m=-l}^{+l}\mathbf{Y}^*_{lm}(\Omega_{\boldsymbol{\rho}})\mathbf{Y}_{lm}(\Omega_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}})
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$C_l(\rho\lambda) = \frac{2l+1}{2}\int_{-1}^{+1} d\mu\ \exp(\rho\lambda\ \mu)\ P_l(\mu).$$

Finaly we arrive to expressions containing a finite sums which are easy to evaluate.

The spin orbit parts

The spin orbit matrix elements can be expressed as:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta V_{SO} &= -\frac{1}{6}\frac{\alpha_s}{2}\left(\overrightarrow{a}_L\cdot\overrightarrow{\mathbf{L}}+\overrightarrow{a}_K\cdot\overrightarrow{\mathbf{K}}\right) \\
&\quad +\frac{3}{2}\frac{\alpha_s}{2}\left(\overrightarrow{A^+}\cdot\overrightarrow{\mathbf{I}^+}_{\lambda P\rho}+\overrightarrow{B^+}\cdot\overrightarrow{\mathbf{I}^+}_{\rho P\rho}+\overrightarrow{C^+}\cdot\overrightarrow{\mathbf{I}^+}_{\lambda P\lambda}+\overrightarrow{D^+}\cdot\overrightarrow{\mathbf{I}^+}_{\rho P\lambda}\right) \\
&\quad +\frac{3}{2}\frac{\alpha_s}{2}\left(\overrightarrow{A^-}\cdot\overrightarrow{\mathbf{I}^-}_{\lambda P\rho}+\overrightarrow{B^-}\cdot\overrightarrow{\mathbf{I}^-}_{\rho P\rho}+\overrightarrow{C^-}\cdot\overrightarrow{\mathbf{I}^-}_{\lambda P\lambda}+\overrightarrow{D^-}\cdot\overrightarrow{\mathbf{I}^-}_{\rho P\lambda}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overrightarrow{a_L} &= -\frac{1}{M_q}\left(\frac{1}{M_q}+\frac{2}{M_{\overline{q}}}\right)\langle\overrightarrow{s}_q\rangle+\left(-\frac{1}{M_{\overline{q}}^2}-\frac{2}{M_qM_{\overline{q}}}\right)\langle\overrightarrow{s}_{\overline{q}}\rangle\,,\\
\overrightarrow{a_K} &= \frac{1}{M_q+M_{\overline{q}}}\left(\frac{1}{M_q}\langle\overrightarrow{s}_q\rangle-\frac{1}{M_{\overline{q}}}\langle\overrightarrow{s}_{\overline{q}}\rangle\right);\\
\overrightarrow{A^+} &= -\frac{1}{M_q}\left(\frac{1}{M_q}\langle\overrightarrow{s}_q\rangle+\frac{2}{M_g}\left\langle\overrightarrow{S_g}\right\rangle\right),\\
\overrightarrow{A^-} &= -\left(\overrightarrow{A^+}\text{ with }q\to\overline{q}\text{ and }\overline{q}\to q\right);\\
\overrightarrow{B^+} &= -\frac{1}{M_q\left(M_q+M_{\overline{q}}\right)}\left(\frac{M_{\overline{q}}}{M_q}\langle\overrightarrow{s}_q\rangle+\frac{2M_{\overline{q}}}{M_g}\left\langle\overrightarrow{S_g}\right\rangle\right),\\
\overrightarrow{B^-} &= \left(\overrightarrow{B^+}\text{ with }q\to\overline{q}\text{ and }\overline{q}\to q\right);\\
\overrightarrow{C^+} &= -\frac{1}{M_q}\left(\frac{1}{\left(M_q+M_{\overline{q}}\right)}+\frac{2}{M_g}\right)\langle\overrightarrow{s}_q\rangle-\frac{1}{M_g}\\
&\quad\times\left(\frac{1}{M_g}+\frac{2}{\left(M_q+M_{\overline{q}}\right)}\right)\left\langle\overrightarrow{S_g}\right\rangle,\\
\overrightarrow{C^-} &= \left(\overrightarrow{C^+}\text{ with }q\to\overline{q}\text{ and }\overline{q}\to q\right);\\
\overrightarrow{D^+} &= -\frac{M_{\overline{q}}}{M_q\left(M_q+M_{\overline{q}}\right)}\left(\frac{1}{\left(M_q+M_{\overline{q}}\right)}+\frac{2}{M_g}\right)\langle\overrightarrow{s}_q\rangle-\frac{M_{\overline{q}}}{M_g\left(M_q+M_{\overline{q}}\right)}\\
&\quad\times\left(\frac{1}{M_g}+\frac{2}{\left(M_q+M_{\overline{q}}\right)}\right)\left\langle\overrightarrow{S_g}\right\rangle,\\
\overrightarrow{D^-} &= -\left(\overrightarrow{D^+}\text{ with }q\to\overline{q}\text{ and }\overline{q}\to q\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

We have to evaluate the following integrals:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overrightarrow{\mathbf{L}} &\equiv \int\Psi_{JM}^{PC}(\vec{\rho},\vec{\lambda})^*\left(\frac{\vec{\rho}\times\overrightarrow{p}_\rho}{\rho^3}\right)\Psi_{JM}^{PC}(\vec{\rho},\vec{\lambda})\;d\vec{\rho}d\vec{\lambda}\\
\overrightarrow{\mathbf{K}} &\equiv \int\Psi_{JM}^{PC}(\vec{\rho},\vec{\lambda})^*\left(\frac{\vec{\rho}\times\overrightarrow{p}_\lambda}{\rho^3}\right)\Psi_{JM}^{PC}(\vec{\rho},\vec{\lambda})\;d\vec{\rho}d\vec{\lambda}\\
\overrightarrow{\mathbf{I}^{\pm}}_{iP_j} &\equiv \int\Psi_{JM}^{PC}(\vec{\rho},\vec{\lambda})^*\frac{1}{\left|\vec{\lambda}\pm x_\pm\vec{\rho}\right|}\overrightarrow{r_i}\times\overrightarrow{p}_{r_j}\Psi_{JM}^{PC}(\vec{\rho},\vec{\lambda})\;d\vec{\rho}d\vec{\lambda}\\
\overrightarrow{r}_i &= \vec{\rho}\text{ or }\vec{\lambda}.
\end{aligned}
$$

As an example we calculate $\overrightarrow{I^{\pm}}_{\rho P_\lambda}$:

$$\overrightarrow{\mathbf{I}^{\pm}}_{\rho P_\lambda} \equiv \int \Psi^{PC}_{JM}(\vec{\rho},\vec{\lambda})^* \frac{1}{\left|\vec{\lambda} \pm x_{\pm}\vec{\rho}\right|} \overrightarrow{\rho} \times \left(-\overrightarrow{\nabla}_\lambda\right) \Psi^{PC}_{JM}(\vec{\rho},\vec{\lambda})\; d\vec{\rho} d\vec{\lambda}$$

The particular form of the trial wavefunction leads to expressions:

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\overrightarrow{\mathbf{I}^{\pm}}_{\rho P_\lambda}\right)_k &= \dot{\mathbf{I}}\left[\alpha_2^2\left(\mathbf{I}^{\pm}_{\rho_i\lambda_j} - \mathbf{I}^{\pm}_{\rho_j\lambda_i}\right) + C^{l_\lambda}_{\alpha_2}\left(-G_j^{l_\lambda m_\lambda}\mathbf{J}^{\pm}_{\rho_i} + G_i^{l_\lambda m_\lambda}\mathbf{J}^{\pm}_{\rho_j}\right)\right]; \\
i,j,k &= x,y,z \;+ circular\ permutation.; \text{with:} \\
\mathbf{I}^{\pm}_{\rho_i\lambda_j} &= \mathbf{I}^{\pm}_{\lambda_j\rho_i} = \int \Psi^{PC}_{JM}(\vec{\rho},\vec{\lambda})^* \frac{\rho_i\lambda_j}{\left|\vec{\lambda} \pm x_{\pm}\vec{\rho}\right|^3} \Psi^{PC}_{JM}(\vec{\rho},\vec{\lambda})\; d\vec{\rho} d\vec{\lambda}, \\
\mathbf{J}^{\pm}_{\rho_j} &= \int \Psi^{PC}_{JM}(\vec{\rho},\vec{\lambda})^* \frac{\rho_i}{\left|\vec{\lambda} \pm x_{\pm}\vec{\rho}\right|^3} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2_{[K_2]}\lambda^2\right) \Psi^{PC}_{JM}(\vec{\rho},\vec{\lambda})\; d\vec{\rho} d\vec{\lambda}, \\
\mathbf{J}^{\pm}_{\lambda_j} &= \int \Psi^{PC}_{JM}(\vec{\rho},\vec{\lambda})^* \frac{\lambda_i}{\left|\vec{\lambda} \pm x_{\pm}\vec{\rho}\right|^3} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2_{[K_2]}\rho^2\right) \Psi^{PC}_{JM}(\vec{\rho},\vec{\lambda})\; d\vec{\rho} d\vec{\lambda}.
\end{aligned}$$

These integrals can be calculated using the development:

$$\sqrt{1+x^2 \pm 2x\mu}^{(-3)} = \frac{1}{1-x^2}\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}(\mp)^l\,(2l+1)\,x^l P_l\,(\mu)\,; \quad x \neq \pm 1$$

then we can write:

$$\xi^3\left|\vec{\lambda} \pm x\vec{\rho}\right|^{(-3)} = \frac{t_\theta}{1-x^2}\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}(\mp)^l\,x^l 4\pi \sum_{l=-m}^{m}\mathbf{Y}^*_{lm}(\Omega_{\boldsymbol{\rho}})\mathbf{Y}_{lm}(\Omega_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}),$$

If we have the same flavors, then $M_q = M_{\overline{q}}$ and

	$0 \prec \theta \leq \arctan 2$	$\arctan 2 \prec \theta \prec \frac{\pi}{2}$
t_θ	$\cos^{-3}\theta$	$2^3\sin^{-3}\theta$
x	$\frac{1}{2}\tan\theta$	$\frac{2}{\tan\theta}$

In the end we have

$$\mathbf{I}^{\pm}_{\rho_i\lambda_j} = \mathbf{I}^{\pm}_{\lambda_j\rho_i} = C^{l_{\rho 1}l_{\lambda 1}}_{\alpha_1}C^{l_{\rho 2}l_{\lambda 2}}_{\alpha_2}\left(\int_0^{\infty} d\xi\; \xi^{4+K_1+K_2}\exp(-\tfrac{\alpha_1^2+\alpha_2^2}{2}\xi^2)\right)\sum_{l=l_{\min}}^{l_{\max}}(\mp)^l$$

$$\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} d\theta \sin^3\theta\cos^3\theta\,\tfrac{t_\theta}{1-x^2}x^l 4\pi\sum_{m=-l}^{l}(-1)^m\left\langle l_{\rho 1}m_{\rho 1}\left|\mathbf{n}_i\mathbf{Y}_{lm}\right|l_{\rho 2}m_{\rho 2}\right\rangle\left\langle l_{\rho 1}m_{\rho 1}\left|\mathbf{n}_j\mathbf{Y}_{lm}\right|l_{\rho 2}m_{\rho 2}\right\rangle;$$

where $\mathbf{n}_i = \frac{\mathbf{r}_i}{r}$.

The tensor part

The tensor corrections are calculated from the formula:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta V_T &= -\frac{1}{6}\alpha_s \frac{1}{M_q M_{\overline{q}}} \left(\sum_{i,j=x,y,z} 3 \ \langle s_{qi} \ s_{\overline{q}j} \rangle \ \mathbf{T}_{ij} - \mathbf{T} \ \langle \overrightarrow{s}_q \cdot \overrightarrow{s}_{\overline{q}} \rangle \right) \\
&+ \frac{3}{2}\alpha_s \frac{1}{M_q M_g} \left(\sum_{i,j=x,y,z} 3 \ \langle s_{qi} \ S_{gj} \rangle \ \mathbf{T}^+_{ij} - \mathbf{T}^+ \ \left\langle \overrightarrow{s}_q \cdot \overrightarrow{S}_g \right\rangle \right) \\
&+ \frac{3}{2}\alpha_s \frac{1}{M_{\overline{q}} M_g} \left(\sum_{i,j=x,y,z} 3 \ \langle s_{\overline{q}i} \ S_{gj} \rangle \ \mathbf{T}^-_{ij} - \mathbf{T}^- \ \left\langle \overrightarrow{s}_q \cdot \overrightarrow{S}_g \right\rangle \right)
\end{aligned}$$

with the definitions

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{T}_{ij} &= \int \Psi^{PC}_{JM}(\vec{\rho},\vec{\lambda})^* \frac{(\mathbf{n}_\rho)_i (\mathbf{n}_\rho)_j}{\rho^3} \Psi^{PC}_{JM}(\vec{\rho},\vec{\lambda}) \ d\vec{\rho} d\vec{\lambda}, \\
\mathbf{T} &= \\
\mathbf{T}^{\pm}_{ij} &= \int \Psi^{PC}_{JM}(\vec{\rho},\vec{\lambda})^* \frac{(\mathbf{n}_\pm)_i (\mathbf{n}_\pm)_j}{\left|\vec{\lambda} \pm x_\pm \vec{\rho}\right|^3} \Psi^{PC}_{JM}(\vec{\rho},\vec{\lambda}) \ d\vec{\rho} d\vec{\lambda}, \\
\mathbf{T}^{\pm} &= \int \Psi^{PC}_{JM}(\vec{\rho},\vec{\lambda})^* \frac{1}{\left|\vec{\lambda} \pm x_\pm \vec{\rho}\right|^3} \Psi^{PC}_{JM}(\vec{\rho},\vec{\lambda}) \ d\vec{\rho} d\vec{\lambda}, \\
\mathbf{n}_\pm &= \frac{\vec{\lambda} \pm x_\pm \vec{\rho}}{\left|\vec{\lambda} \pm x_\pm \vec{\rho}\right|}.
\end{aligned}$$

To evaluate these integrals we need to exploit the development:

$$\xi^5 \left|\vec{\lambda} \pm x\vec{\rho}\right|^{(-5)} = \frac{1}{3\left(1-x^2\right)^3} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (\mp)^l \, x^l \left[2l+3-(2l-1)\,x^2\right] \ 4\pi \sum_{l=-m}^{m} \mathbf{Y}^*_{lm}(\Omega_{\boldsymbol{\rho}}) \mathbf{Y}_{lm}(\Omega_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}),$$

P	C	$S_{q\bar{q}}$	$l_{q\bar{q}}$	l_g
-	-	0	*even*	*odd*
		1	*odd*	*even*
-	+	0	*odd*	*even*
		1	*even*	*odd*
+	-	0	*even*	*even*
		1	*odd*	*odd*
+	+	0	*odd*	*odd*
		1	*even*	*even*

Table 1: $l_{q\bar{q}}$ and l_g Parity.

	1^{--}	0^{--}	0^{-+}	1^{-+}
$n\overline{n}g$	1.40	1.58	1.73	1.93
$s\overline{s}g$	1.66	1.87	2.02	2.21
$c\overline{c}g$	4.27	4.35	4.38	4.48
$b\overline{b}g$	10.50	10.66	10.68	10.80

Table 2: Mixed QE-GE hybrid mesons masses with spin corrections (in GeV)

	QE	GE
$n\overline{n}g$	1.31	1.70
$s\overline{s}g$	1.57	2.00
$c\overline{c}g$	4.09	4.45
$b\overline{b}g$	10.34	10.81

Table 3: Pure QE and GE-hybrid masses (in GeV)

	0^{-+}	1^{--}	1^{-+}	0^{--}
$n\overline{n}g$	1.83	1.90	2.04	2.06
$s\overline{s}g$	2.13	2.21	2.33	2.38

Table 4: Pure GE-light hybrid masses with spin corrections (in GeV)

$\Gamma_{\rho\omega}$	1.5
$\Gamma_{\rho\pi}$	53
Γ_{K^*K}	7.0
$\Gamma_{tot}\left[1^{-+}\left(1600\right)\right]$	61

Table 5A: Decay widths of the (M=1.6) hybrid in (S+S)-standard mesons (in $\alpha_s MeV$).

$\Gamma_{\rho\omega}$	31
$\Gamma_{\rho\pi}$	100
$\Gamma_{\rho(1450)\pi}$	18
Γ_{K^*K}	32
$\Gamma_{K^*(1410)K}$	0.3
$\Gamma_{tot}\left[1^{-+}\left(2000\right)\right]$	181

Table 5B: Decay widths of the (M=2.0) hybrid in (S+S)-standard mesons (in $\alpha_s MeV$).

L	0	1	2
$\Gamma_{b_1^0\pi^-}$	145	433	722
$\Gamma_{b_1^-\pi^0}$	145	434.7	724.5
$\Gamma_{f_1^0(1285)\pi^-}$	117	88.2	147.4
$\Gamma_{f_1^0(1420)\pi^-}$	39	29	47.9
$\Gamma_{tot}\left[1^{-+}\left(1600\right)\right]$	446	563	1642

Table 6A: Decay widths of the (M=1.6) hybrid in (L+S)-standard mesons (in $\alpha_s MeV$).

L	0	1	2
$\Gamma_{b_1^0\pi^-}$	477	1425	2378
$\Gamma_{f_1^0(1285)\pi^-}$	481	361	604
$\Gamma_{f_1^0(1420)\pi^-}$	524	340	643

Table 6B: Some partial decay widths of the (M=2.0) hybrid in (L+S)-standard mesons (in $\alpha_s MeV$).

L		0	1	2
$\Gamma_{D^0\bar{D}^0}$		129.5	388.5	647.5
$\Gamma_{D^+D^-}$		135.1	406	676.2
$\Gamma_{D_s^+D_s^-}$		142.8	428.4	714
$\Gamma_{D^{*0}\overline{D^0}} = \Gamma_{D^{*0}\overline{D^{*0}}}$		0.00	0.00	0.00
$\Gamma_{D^{*+}D^{*-}=}\Gamma_{D^{*0}\overline{D^{*0}}}$	$S=0$	30.8	92.4	1.47
	$S=1$	0.00	0.00	0.00
	$S=2$	49.3	369.6	24.5
$\Gamma_{tot}\left[1^{--}\left(4.26\right)\right]$		982.1	2463.3	2914.1

Table 7: Decay widths of the (M=4.26) hybrid in (S+S)-standard mesons (in $\alpha_s MeV$).

$\Gamma_{D_1(2420)\overline{D^0}} = \Gamma_{\overline{D_1}(2420)D^0} \simeq \Gamma_{D_1^{\pm}(2420)D^{\mp}}$	$\frac{107}{4}$

Table 8: Partial decay widths of the (M=4.3) hybrid in (L+S)-standard mesons (in $\alpha_s MeV$).

$\frac{\left\langle \rho^2 \right\rangle}{\left\langle \lambda^2 \right\rangle}$	1^{-+}	0^{-+}	1^{--}
light sector	0.8	1.0	1.4
b-sector	0.6	0.8	1.1

Table 9: *Geometrical configuration of the hybrid mesons.*